

**Results from Using Evaluative Processes in Foundations: Challenges and Solutions**  
**EXERCISE 1: McCormick Foundation as a learning organization**

**Directions:** Read through the entire list of characteristics of learning organizations. Then place an **S** on the line by up to 3 characteristics that you think are current **STRENGTHS** of McCormick Foundation, in supporting organizational learning and a **W** by up to 3 characteristics you think are significant **WEAKNESSES** of McCormick Foundation as a learning organization. You may also write in your own ideas about strengths and weaknesses regarding learning. The characteristics are organized by domain. You may distribute your votes across domains or concentrate them in one or more areas depending on your own experiences.

**Characteristics of Learning Organizations**

		<b>W</b>	<b>S</b>		
<b>CULTURE</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	___	___	Rewards experimentation	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	___	___	Supports learning from mistakes	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	___	___	Values asking questions	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	___	___	Supports open discussion and critical feedback	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	___	___	Encourages collective reflection	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	___	___	Views information as common property	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	___	___	Promotes cooperation over competition	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	___	___	Builds and maintains trust	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	___	___	Promotes big picture perspective among individuals	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	___	___	Holds individuals accountable for organizational outcomes	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	___	___	Demands periodic reassessment of organizational goals	
	<b>STRUCTURE</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	___	___	Provides training in and opportunities to use evaluation
		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	___	___	Invests in knowledge management
<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		___	___	Has effective channels for flow of learning between levels	
<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		___	___	Rewards staff and grantees for basing action on information	
<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		___	___	Has explicit learning goals	
<b>PRACTICE</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	___	___	Focuses on intellectual capital	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	___	___	Uses environmental scanning for lessons learned	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	___	___	Defines and responds to information needs	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	___	___	Makes assumptions explicit	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	___	___	Integrates new information with old	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	___	___	Routinely gathers and discusses data	
<b>LEADERSHIP</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	___	___	Models learning	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	___	___	Builds shared vision	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	___	___	Surfaces differences	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	___	___	Discusses the "undiscussable"	
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	___	___	Balances risk taking with security	

## EXERCISE 2: Barriers to Organizational Learning at McCormick Foundation

**Directions:** Read through the entire list of barriers that can hamper organizational learning. Then place a check mark by **up to 3** that you think are the most significant challenges to McCormick Foundation in capturing and using organizational learning. Focus on those barriers that you believe removing could have significant benefit to organizational effectiveness. You may distribute your three votes for most significant challenges across the cells or concentrate them in a just one or a few depending on your own assessment of the most important barriers.

### Level 1: Foundation-wide

#### STRUCTURAL CHALLENGES

- Absence of external accountability
- Pressure to "get the money out the door"
- Disruptive effect of asset level fluctuations
- "Sacred cow" funding priorities
- Inflexible information and reporting systems
- Ambiguous organizational mandate of evaluation unit—responsibility without authority

#### CULTURAL CHALLENGES

- A philosophy that philanthropy is the same as sponsoring "good works"
- Assumption that foundations are already learning organizations
- Predisposition to "spread the dollars around"
- Failure to connect risk-taking with learning
- Tension between tackling the complex, "messy" problems and the desire to show results
- Disincentives to raising board expectations for evaluation
- Board naïveté about change and evaluation processes

### Level 2: Program area

#### STRUCTURAL CHALLENGES

- Grant making in "silos" and program officers as "solo practitioners"
- Competition among program areas for finite funds
- Either very high or very low staff turnover
- Top down mandates for evaluation with the money to come from program budgets
- Inadequate attention to program theory, including failure to build learning goals into initiative design
- Staff performance evaluation decoupled from assessment of grantee performance
- Grantee funding renewal disconnected from evaluation results

#### CULTURAL CHALLENGES

- Program staff as "advocates" in their fields
- Perceived gaps between substantive expertise and evaluative expertise between program and evaluation staff
- Unrealistic expectations for social change displayed in RFPs
- "Protected grantees"

### Level 3: Grantees

#### STRUCTURAL CHALLENGES

\_\_\_Lack of grantee capacity, incentives, or resources to "do evaluation"

#### CULTURAL CHALLENGES

\_\_\_Fear of jeopardizing future funding support  
\_\_\_Focus on evaluation use for external judgment versus internal learning  
\_\_\_Overly ambitious proposals  
\_\_\_Grantee reluctance to criticize foundation strategic approach

Adapted from: Challenges to the Role of Evaluation in Supporting Organizational Learning in Foundations  
*William E. Bickel, University of Pittsburgh Learning Research and Development Center*  
*Ricardo Millett, W.K. Kellogg Foundation*  
*Catherine Ansumb Nelson, Evaluation Consultant*  
*Council on Foundations, 2002*